

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/603,059	06/24/2003	Karl-Heinz Fuchs	Ruff C-10	8661	
23474 75	90 05/16/2006		EXAMINER		
FLYNN THIEL BOUTELL & TANIS, P.C.			LUK, EMMANUEL S		
2026 RAMBLII KALAMAZOO	NG ROAD), MI 49008-1631		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,,		1722		
			DATE MAILED: 05/16/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	_		
		10/603,059	FUCHS, KARL-HEINZ			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Emmanuel S. Luk	1722			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	the correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Ap</u>	oril 2006.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 10,11,13,14 and 16-28 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyand on is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Ap ity documents have been r (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage			
2) Notice Notice 1) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/603,059 Page 2

Art Unit: 1722

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The amendment filed 4/4/06 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The original disclosure does not disclose a controller that discusses the control operation of the injection molding die. The amendment included changes to the specification to include this controller AND changes to the drawings for Figure 10 that previously did not have this feature.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 10, 13, 14, 17, 18, and 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claims feature a programmable controller that was not previously in the original disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,059 Page 3

Art Unit: 1722

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 10, 11, 13, 14, and 17-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishida (6372170) in view of Kamiguchi (5736079).

Nishida teaches an injection molding device that produces injection molded parts having an assembly platform (30) and the pads (Yf) can be removed for a plugging in process with other injection molded parts (Y) (Fig. 14, 15). The assembly platform is moved via slide-type guide (32).

Nishida fails to teach the device producing a metering pump. However, this is an intended use of an apparatus. It is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nishida with the mold to create pads for a metering pump from injection molding. Nishida fails to teach ejectors.

Kamiguchi teaches the use of ejector mechanisms (7) for ejecting the product from the mold cavity in an injection molding machine and the use of a control device for controlling the ejectors. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nishida with ejectors and controllers for the ejectors as taught by Kamiguchi in order to control the removal of the product from the machine.

In regards to claims 26 and 27, these are additional multiplied parts for a multiplied effect for the additional mold ejectors.

6. Claims 16 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishida (6372170) as applied to claims 10-15 above, and further in view of Boucherie 6379139).

Nishida fails to teach the platform can be rotated about a central axis of rotation. Boucherie teaches an injection molding apparatus having "a circular rotary disk by means of which mold inserts can be moved between the groups of mold cavities" (Col. 1, lines 24-25).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nishida with the circular rotary disk as taught by Boucherie because it allows for a more compact arrangement of the groups of mold cavities (Col. 1, lines 30-31).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10-14 and 16-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. This is due to the new changes made by the amendment of the claims.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emmanuel S. Luk whose telephone number is (571) 272-1134. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8 to 5 and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,059 Page 6

Art Unit: 1722

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EL

JOSEPH S. DEL SOLE PRIMARY EXAMINER

5/12/06